SINGLE BENCH

(5)

(3)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 7169 /2006 SB

PETITIONER

Khirandra Singh Thakur, aged about 30 years, son of Shri Kamal Singh Thakur, Panchayat Karmi, Gram panchayat – Kokawada, Block Darbha, Tahsil Jagdalpur, District Bastar (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 7494/06
Presented by Shri A.K. Mishra
dated 19/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, General Administration, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
19/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Director General of Police, Police Head Quarter, Raipur (C.G.)

3. Department of Home – thorugh – the Secretary, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

4. Board of Professional Education C-168, Tagore Nagar, Raipur (C.G.)

5. Superintendent of Police, Bastar District, Jagdalpur (Chhattisgarh)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS ETC AND FOR APPROPRIATE FURTHER DIRECTIONS

30

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 7169 of 2006

Petitioner : Khirandra Singh Thakur

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri.

Present: Shri Avinash K. Mishra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 22nd day of December, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as Panchayat Karmi, Gram Panchayat Kokawada, Janpad Panchayat Darbha, Tahsil Jagdalpur, District- Bastar (C.G.) and joined the post on 4.11.1995. The petitioner has been working continuously.

2. The petitioner has not produced a certificate before prescribed date for filing application indicating the period when he has worked as Panchayat Karmi. The petitioner undertakes to file a certificate to that effect.

3. The respondents/authorities are accordingly directed to consider the certificate, if so produced, for permitting the petitioner to appear in examination, if the petitioner is

(31)

entitled to grant of age relaxation as per circulars dated 10.2.2006 (Annexure-P/4) and dated 4.11.2006.

4. In view of the aforementioned observations, this writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to Costs.

Certified copy as per rules, today.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash